UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,400 06/27/2003		Michael J. Pugia	2003P56015US (017191.042)	7945
28524 SIEMENS COF	7590 12/15/200 RPORATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY DEPA	GORDON, BRIAN R		
I70 WOOD AV ISELIN, NJ 088	/ENUE SOUTH 330		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/608,400	PUGIA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LAAIIIIIEI	Artonic		

	Brian R. Gordon	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelication (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the co	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	callee
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		, ,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-8, 33, and 36</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Brian R Gordon/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1797	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As previously stated in the interview held on 11/25/08, the amendment to claim 33 does not add any further structural limitations. The added phrase is directed to intended use or a process step of determining a capillary force. Further more it is unclear what "obtains contact with said surface." In the interview applicants stated the prior art does not teach an absorbent substrate. In reviewing the previous rejection, the examiner has found that Examiner Sines addressed the limitation of the absorbent substrate via a secondary reference, Hillman. The examiner agrees with the previous position taken by Examiner Sines. Therefore the prior rejections are hereby maintained. Applicant asserts teachings of Hillman are ambiguous at best. Applicant further asserts Hillman says nothing about structures in the wider region. This argument is not commensurate in scope with that of the claims. Applicant's claim 33 makes no reference to such a wider region. Applicant further discuss Applicant's problem. It should be noted that the motivation for combining references does not have to be the same motivation as that of applicant nor to solve the issues that applicant identify as problems. For the reasons identified in the interview and those stated herein the previous rejections are hereby maintained.